

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/067,495	Applicant(s) HORENSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Jennifer I. Harle	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

 (1) Jennifer I. Harle.

 (2) Jenna M. Morrison.
Status of Application: _____

 (3) James Wilson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Rejoinder of composition and method claims offered. The examiner withdrew the restriction. Potential problems with the method claims were noted. Applicants' chose not to rejoin the method claims and limit the scope of the compound and composition claims to that of the elected group..